arXiv:1408.7111v1 [math.AP] 29 Aug 2014

LOCAL BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF THE STATIONARY SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION WITH SINGULAR POTENTIALS AND BOUNDS ON THE DENSITY OF STATES OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

ABEL KLEIN AND C.S. SIDNEY TSANG

Abstract. We study the local behavior of solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation with singular potentials, establishing a local decomposition into a homogeneous harmonic polynomial and a lower order term. Combining a corollary to this result with a quantitative unique continuation principle for singular potentials we obtain log-Hölder continuity for the density of states outer-measure in one, two, and three dimensions for Schrödinger operators with singular potentials, results that hold for the density of states measure when it exists.

1. Introduction

We study the local behavior of solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation with singular potentials, establishing a local decomposition into a homogeneous harmonic polynomial and a lower order term. As a corollary, we obtain bounds on the local behavior of approximate solutions for these equations. Combining this corollary with a quantitative unique continuation principle for singular potentials [KT], we obtain log-Hölder continuity for the density of states outer-measure in one, two, and three dimensions for Schrödinger operators with singular potentials, results that hold for the density of states measure when it exists. Our work extends results originally proved by Bourgain and Klein [BoKl] for bounded potentials.

Singular potentials introduce technical problems not present for bounded potentials. This can be seen by considering the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V$. If V is a bounded potential, i.e., $V \in \mathrm{L}^\infty$, we have $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(-\Delta) \subset \mathrm{H}^2$. However, if V is a singular potential, say $V \in \mathrm{L}^p$, where $p \in (d, \infty)$, we only have $\mathcal{D}(H) \subset \mathrm{H}^1$. Thus we have to work with solutions in H^1, not solutions in H^2 as in [BoKl].

Let $\Omega = B(x_0, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - x_0| < r\}$, the ball centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with radius $r > 0$, where $|x| := (\sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Given a real potential $W \in \mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)$, where $p \in (d, \infty)$, we consider the stationary Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta\phi + W\phi = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad \Omega. \tag{1.1}$$

We let $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ be the linear space of solutions $\phi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$, and define linear subspaces

$$\mathcal{E}_N(\Omega) = \left\{ \phi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) : \limsup_{x \to x_0} \frac{|\phi(x)|}{|x - x_0|^N} < \infty \right\} \quad \text{for } N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.2}$$

A.K. and C.S.S.T. were supported by the NSF under grant DMS-1301641.

We have $\mathcal{E}_1(\Omega) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0(\Omega) : \phi(x_0) = 0\}$, and $\mathcal{E}_N(\Omega) \supset \mathcal{E}_{N+1}(\Omega)$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. The following theorem is an extension of [BoKl, Lemma 3.2] to singular potentials. (See [B, HW] for previous results.)

For dimensions $d \geq 2$, let $\mathcal{H}_m^{(d)}$ denote the vector space of homogenous harmonic polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^d$ of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and set $\mathcal{H}_{\leq N}^{(d)} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^N \mathcal{H}_m^{(d)}$. Recall that there exists a constant $\gamma_d > 0$ such that (e.g., [ABR])

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_{\leq N}^{(d)} = \sum_{m=0}^N \dim \mathcal{H}_m^{(d)} \leq \gamma_d N^{d-1} \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.3}$$

Constants such as $C_{a,b,\ldots}$ will always be finite and depending only on the parameters or quantities $a, b, \ldots$; they will be independent of other parameters or quantities in the equation. Note that $C_{a,b,\ldots}$ may stand for different constants in different sides of the same inequality.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d = 2, 3, \ldots$, $\Omega = B(x_0, 3r_0)$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r_0 > 0$, . Fix a real potential $W \in \mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)$, where $p \in (d, \infty)$, and set $W_p = \|W\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)}$. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a linear map $Y_N^{(\Omega)} : \mathcal{E}_N(\Omega) \to \mathcal{H}_N^{(d)}$ such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_N(\Omega)$ we have, for all $x \in \overline{B\left(x_0, \frac{r_0}{2}\right)}$, that*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\phi(x) - (Y_N^{(\Omega)}\phi)(x - x_0)| \\ &\quad \leq r_0^{-\frac{d}{2}} (C_{d,p,W_p,r_0})^{N+2} \left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{\frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}} ((N+1)!)^{d-2} |x - x_0|^{N+1} \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

As a consequence, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{N+1}(\Omega) = \ker Y_N^{(\Omega)} \text{ and } \dim \mathcal{E}_{N+1}(\Omega) \geq \dim \mathcal{E}_N(\Omega) - \dim \mathcal{H}_N^{(d)}. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{J}$ is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{E}_0(\Omega)$ we have

$$\dim \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_{N+1}(\Omega) \geq \dim \mathcal{J} - \gamma_d N^{d-1} \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.6}$$

where γ_d is the constant in (1.3).

As a corollary, we obtain bounds on the local behavior of approximate solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation (1.1) with singular potentials, extending [BoKl, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 1.2. *For $d = 2, 3, \ldots$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset. Let $B(x_0, r_0) \subset \Omega$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r_0 > 0$. Fix a real valued function $W \in \mathrm{L}^p(B(x_0, r_0))$ for some $p \in (d, \infty)$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(B(x_0, r_0))$ and*

$$\|(-\Delta + W)\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B(x_0,r_0))} \leq C_{\mathcal{F}} \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}. \tag{1.7}$$

Then there exists $0 < r_1 = r_1(d, p, W_p)$, where $W_p = \|W\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(B(x_0,r_0))}$, with the property that for al $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a linear subspace $\mathcal{F}_N$ of $\mathcal{F}$, with

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_N \geq \dim \mathcal{F} - \gamma_d N^{d-1}, \tag{1.8}$$

where γ_d is the constant in (1.3)*, such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_N$ we have*

$$|\psi(x)| \leq (C_{d,p,W_p,r_1}^{N^2} |x - x_0|^{N+1} + C_{\mathcal{F}}) \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } x \in B(x_0, r_1). \tag{1.9}$$

Equipped with Corollary 1.2 and the quantitative unique continuation principle for singular potentials [KT, Theorem 1.1], we establish bounds on the density of states of Schrödinger operators $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where now Δ is the Laplacian operator, and V is a singular real potential. In dimensions $d \geq 2$ we will take $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$, where $V^{(1)} \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V^{(2)} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p \in (d, \infty)$. When applying Corollary 1.2 we use that $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)$ for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ bounded, in which case $\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega) + \mathrm{L}^p(\Omega) = \mathrm{L}^p(\Omega)$.

Given $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x) = x + (\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2})^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the open box of side $L > 0$ centered at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we let H_Λ and Δ_Λ be the restriction of H and Δ to $\mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. The finite volume density of states measure is given by

$$\eta_\Lambda(B) := \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \operatorname{tr}\{\chi_B(H_\Lambda)\} \quad \text{for Borel sets} \quad B \subset \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.10}$$

Recall that for V satisfying appropriate conditions (as in Theorem 1.3 below) and all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\eta_\Lambda(B) \leq C_{d,V,E} < \infty \quad \text{for all Borel sets} \quad B \subset (-\infty, E]. \tag{1.11}$$

For periodic and ergodic Schrödinger operators, density of states measure η can be defined as weak limits of the finite volume density of states measure η_Λ for sequences of boxes $\Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^d$ in an appropriate sense. The infinite volume density of states measure cannot be defined for general Schrödinger operators, so we follow [BoKl] and study the density of states outer-measure, defined on Borel subsets B of $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\eta^*(B) := \limsup_{L \to \infty} \eta_L^*(B), \quad \text{where} \quad \eta_L^*(B) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{\Lambda_L(x)}(B), \tag{1.12}$$

always finite on bounded sets in view of (1.11).

We obtain log-Hölder continuity for the density of states outer-measure of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials in one, two, and three dimensions, extending [BoKl, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $d = 1, 2, 3$, and V is a real potential such that:*

(i) *if $d = 1$, $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\{|x-y| \leq 1\}} |V(y)| dy < \infty$;*
(ii) *if $d = 2$, $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$, where $V^{(1)} \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V^{(2)} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > 2$;*
(iii) *if $d = 3$, $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$, where $V^{(1)} \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V^{(2)} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > 6$.*

Then, given $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $E \leq E_0$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\eta^*([E, E + \varepsilon]) \leq \frac{C_{d,p,V,E_0}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\kappa_d}}, \quad \text{where } \kappa_1 = 1, \kappa_d = \tfrac{(4-d)p-2d}{8p-4d} \text{ for } d = 2, 3. \tag{1.13}$$

2. Local behavior of approximate solutions of the stationary Schrödinger equation with singular potentials

The fundamental solution to Laplace's equation is given by

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_d(x) := \begin{cases} (d(d-2)\omega_d)^{-1} |x|^{-d+2} & \text{if} \quad d = 3, 4, \dots \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x| & \text{if} \quad d = 2 \end{cases}, \tag{2.1}$$

where ω_d denotes the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We start as in [BoKl, Proof of Lemma 3.2]. We take $d = 2, 3, \ldots$, and prove the lemma for $\Omega = B(0,3) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$; the general case then follows by translating and dilating. We set $\Omega' = B(0, \frac{3}{2})$, and write $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n(\Omega)$. Since we only have $\mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$, we must proceed differently from [BoKl, Proof of Lemma 3.2]. A function $\phi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$ satisfies an elliptic regularity estimate [T, Theorem 5.1]:

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega')} \leq C_{d,p,W_p} \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}, \tag{2.2}$$

but we do not have a readily available counterpart for [BoKl, Eq. (3.18)], and thus we must modify the induction.

We fix $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$ and consider its Newtonian potential given by

$$\psi(x) = -\int_{\Omega'} W(y)\phi(y)\Phi(x-y)dy \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.3}$$

Let q be defined by $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, so $q < \frac{d}{d-1} < \frac{d}{d-2}$. Then $\Phi \in \mathrm{L}^q(\Omega)$, and it follows from (2.2) that

$$|\psi(x)| \leq W_p \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Omega')} \|\Phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^q(\Omega)} \leq C_{d,p,W_p} W_p \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \Omega'. \tag{2.4}$$

Setting $h = \phi - \psi$, we have $\Delta h = 0$ weakly in Ω', as $\Delta\psi = W\phi$ weakly in Ω'. It follows that h is a harmonic function in $\Omega' \supset \overline{B(0,1)}$, and, using [ABR, Corollary 5.34 and its proof]), (2.2), (2.4), [BoKl, Eqs. (3.25) and (3.26)], we have that

$$h(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m(x) \text{ for all } x \in B(0,1), \text{ where } p_m \in \mathcal{H}_m^{(d)} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, \ldots, \tag{2.5}$$

with

$$|p_m(x)| \leq C_{d,p,W_p} m^{d-2} \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} |x|^m \quad \text{for all } x \in B(0,1),\ m = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{2.6}$$

Setting $h_N = \sum_{m=0}^N p_m(x) \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq N}^{(d)}$, it follows that

$$|h(x) - h_N(x)| \leq C_{d,p,W_p} \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} (N+1)^{d-2} |x|^{N+1} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \overline{B\left(0, \tfrac{1}{2}\right)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Given $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{0\}$, we let $\Phi_y(x) = \Phi(x-y)$. Since Φ_y is a harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{y\}$, it is real analytic in $B(0, |y|)$, and we have (see [ABR])

$$\Phi(x-y) = \Phi_y(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} J_m(x,y) \quad \text{for all } x \in B(0,|y|), \tag{2.8}$$

where $J_m(\cdot, y) \in \mathcal{H}_m^{(d)}$ for all $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, and the series converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of $B(0,|y|)$. Moreover, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $m = 1, 2, \ldots$ we have (see [ABR, Corollary 5.34 and its proof] and [BoKl, Eq. (3.31)]) that

$$|J_m(x,y)| \leq C_d m^{d-2} \left(\frac{4|x|}{3|y|}\right)^m \Phi\left(\frac{y}{4}\right) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{2.9}$$

Setting $\Phi_{y,N}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^N J_m(x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq N}^{(d)}$, it follows that for $x \in \overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{2}|y|\right)}$ we have

$$|\Phi_y(x) - \Phi_{y,N}(x)| \leq C_d (N+1)^{d-2} \left(\frac{4|x|}{3|y|}\right)^{N+1} \Phi\left(\frac{y}{4}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

We now proceed by induction. We set $\mathcal{E}_{-1} = \mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{-1}^{(d)} = \{0\}$. We define $Y_{-1} : \mathcal{E}_{-1}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{H}_{-1}^{(d)}$ by $Y_{-1}\phi = 0$ for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{-1}$. The theorem holds for $N = -1$ from the elliptic regularity estimate (2.2).

We now let $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and suppose that the lemma is valid for $N-1$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_N$, it follows that $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_{N-1}$ with $Y_{N-1}\phi = 0$, so by the induction hypothesis

$$|\phi(x)| \leq C_N \|\phi(x)\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} |x|^N \quad \text{for all } \overline{B\left(0, \tfrac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\text{where} \quad C_N = \tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}^{N+1} \left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} (N!)^{d-2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Using (2.9) and (2.11), we define

$$\psi_N(x) = -\int_{\Omega'} W(y)\phi(y)\Phi_{y,N}(x)dy \in \mathcal{H}_{\leq N}^{(d)}. \tag{2.13}$$

We fix $x \in \overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)}$ and estimate

$$|\psi(x) - \psi_N(x)| \leq W_p \left(\int_{\Omega'} (|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\Phi_{y,>N}(x) = \Phi_y(x) - \Phi_{y,N}(x)$. From (2.10) and (2.11), with $p > d$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \setminus B(0,2|x|)} (|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (2.15) \\ &\leq C_d C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} (N+1)^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{4}{3}\right)^{N+1} |x|^{N+1} \left(\int_{\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \setminus B(0,2|x|)} \left(\tfrac{1}{|y|} \Phi\left(\tfrac{y}{4}\right) \right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C_{d,p} C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} (N+1)^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{4}{3}\right)^{N+1} |x|^{N+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $y \notin B(0,2|x|) \cup \overline{B\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)}$ we have $y \geq 2|x|$ and $y \geq \frac{1}{2}$, and hence, using (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\Omega' \setminus \left(B(0,2|x|) \cup \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \right)} (|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (2.16) \\ &\qquad \leq C_d (N+1)^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{8}{3}\right)^{N+1} \Phi\left(\tfrac{1}{8}\right) |x|^{N+1} \left(\int_{\Omega'} |\phi(y)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\qquad \leq C_d (N+1)^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{8}{3}\right)^{N+1} |x|^{N+1} \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.9) and (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{B(0,2|x|) \cap \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}} (|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (2.17) \\ &\leq C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \left(\int_{B(0,2|x|) \cap \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}} (|y|^N |\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \left(\int_{B(0,2|x|) \cap \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}} (|y|^N |\Phi(x-y)|)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + C_d C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \sum_{m=0}^{N} m^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{4}{3}|x|\right)^m \left(\int_{B(0,2|x|) \cap \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}} \left(|y|^{N-m} \left|\Phi\left(\tfrac{y}{4}\right)\right|\right)^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C_d C_N \|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \left(2^N + N^{d-2} \left(\tfrac{4}{3}\right)^{N+1} \right) |x|^{N+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\frac{3|x|}{|x-y|} \geq 1$ for $y \in B(0,2|x|)$. (Note that we get $|x|^{N+2-\frac{d}{p}}$ if $d \geq 3$ and $|x|^{\left(N+2-\frac{d}{p}\right)-}$ if $d = 2$.) Also using (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{\Omega'\setminus\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}}(|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (2.18)\\
&\quad\leq \left(\int_{\Omega'\setminus\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}}(|\phi(y)||\Phi(x-y)|)^q dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\qquad + C_d\sum_{m=0}^{N} m^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{4}{3}|x|\right)^m\left(\int_{\Omega'\setminus\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}}\left(|\phi(y)||y|^{-m}\left|\Phi\left(\tfrac{y}{4}\right)\right|\right)^q dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\quad\leq C_{d,p,W_p}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}\left(1+N^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{4}{3}\right)^{N+1}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $|x| > \frac{1}{4}$ if $y \in B(0,2|x|)\setminus\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{(\Omega'\cap B(0,2|x|))\setminus\overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}}(|\phi(y)||\Phi_{y,>N}(x)|)^q dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \qquad (2.19)\\
&\qquad\qquad \leq C_{d,p,W_p}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}\left(4^{N+1}+N^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{N+1}\right)|x|^{N+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.14), (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) and (2.19), we have ($C_N \geq 1$)

$$|\psi(x)-\psi_N(x)| \leq C_{d,p,W_p}C_N W_p(N+1)^{d-2}|x|^{N+1}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}, \qquad (2.20)$$

for all $x \in \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}$.

Now let $Y_N\phi = h_N+\psi_N \in \mathcal{H}_N^{(d)}$. It follows from (2.7), (2.20) and (2.12), choosing the constant $\tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}$ in (2.12) large enough, that for all $x \in \overline{B\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\phi(x)-(Y_N\phi)(x)| \leq |h(x)-h_N(x)|+|\psi(x)-\psi_N(x)|\\
&\leq (C_{d,p,W_p}+C_{d,p}W_pC_N)(N+1)^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{N+1}|x|^{N+1}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}\\
&\leq \tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}C_N(N+1)^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{N+1}|x|^{N+1}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}\\
&\leq \tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}\left(\tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}^{N+1}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}}(N!)^{d-2}\right)(N+1)^{d-2}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{N+1}|x|^{N+1}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}\\
&\leq \tilde{C}_{d,p,W_p}^{N+2}\left(\tfrac{16}{3}\right)^{\frac{(N+1)(N+2)}{2}}((N+1)!)^{d-2}|x|^{N+1}\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction.

Since (1.5) is a consequence of (1.4), and (1.6) follows from (1.5). the theorem is proven. □

Corollary 1.2 is an immediate consequence from the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *For $d = 2,3,\ldots$, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset. Let $B(x_0,r_1) \subset \Omega$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r_1 > 0$. Fix a real valued function $W \in \mathrm{L}^p(B(x_0,r_1))$ for some $p \in (d,\infty)$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a linear subspace of $\mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$ such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(B(x_0,r_1))$ and*

$$\|(-\Delta+W)\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B(x_0,r_1))} \leq C_{\mathcal{F}}\|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}. \qquad (2.21)$$

Then there exists $0 < r_2 = r_2(d, p, W_p) < r_1$, *where* $W_p = \|W\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(B(x_0,r_1))}$, *with the property that for all* $r \in (0, r_2]$ *there is a linear map* $Z_r : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E}_0(B(x_0, r))$ *such that*

$$\|\psi - Z_r\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B(x_0,r))} \le C_{d,r} C_{\mathcal{F}} \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)}, \quad \text{where } \lim_{r\to 0} C_{d,r} = 0. \tag{2.22}$$

As a consequence, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *there is a vector subspace* $\mathcal{F}_N$ *of* $\mathcal{F}$, *with*

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_N \ge \dim \mathcal{F} - \gamma_d N^{d-1}, \tag{2.23}$$

such that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_N$ *we have*

$$|\psi(x)| \le (C^{N^2}_{d,p,W_p,r_1} |x - x_0|^{N+1} + C_{\mathcal{F}}) \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)} \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{B(x_0, \tfrac{r_2}{6})}. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. We proceed as in [BoKl, Lemma 3.3]. It suffices to consider $x_0 = 0$. We set $B_r = B(0, r)$. Given $0 < r < r_1$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$ with $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(B_r)$, we define $Z_r\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0(B_r)$ as the unique solution $\phi \in \mathrm{H}^1(B_r)$ to the Dirichlet problem on B_r given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi + W\phi = 0 & \text{on } B_r, \\ \phi = \psi & \text{on } \partial B_r. \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

This map is well defined in view of [T, Theorem 3.2]. (Since $W \in \mathrm{L}^p(B_r)$ for some $p \in (d, \infty)$, $|W|$ is compactly bounded on $H^1_0(B_r)$ by [T, Lemma 1.4]. Moreover, for $\psi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega)$ with $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(B_r)$ we have $\|\nabla\psi\|^2_{\mathrm{L}^2(B_r)} + \int_{B_r} |W|\,|\psi|^2\,dx < \infty$, as in [KT, Eq. (2.21) and (2.46)]. Therefore [T, Theorem 3.2] can be applied.) It is clearly a linear map.

To prove (2.22), we use the Green's function $G_r(x, y)$ for the ball B_r (see [GiT, Section 2.5]),

$$G_r(x,y) = \begin{cases} \Phi(|x-y|) - \Phi(\frac{|y|}{r}|x - \frac{r^2}{|y|^2}y|) & \text{if } y \neq 0, \\ \Phi(|x|) - \Phi(r) & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.26}$$

Let $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$. Using Green's representation formula [GiT, Eq. (2.21)] for ψ and $Z_r\psi$, for all $x \in B_r$ we have

$$\psi(x) = -\int_{\partial B_r} \psi(\zeta)\partial_\nu G_r(x,\zeta)dS(\zeta) - \int_{B_r} W(y)\psi(y)G_r(x,y)dy \tag{2.27}$$

$$+ \int_{B_r} ((-\Delta + W)\psi)(y)\, G_r(x,y)dy, \tag{2.28}$$

$$(Z_r\psi)(x) = -\int_{\partial B_r} \psi(\zeta)\partial_\nu G_r(x,\zeta)dS(\zeta) - \int_{B_r} W(y)(Z_r\psi)(y)G_r(x,y)dy,$$

where dS denotes the surface measure and ∂_ν is the normal derivative. For all $x \in B_r$ an explicit calculation gives

$$\|G_r(x,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^1(B_r)} \le C'_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-1)}{\alpha_d}} \|G_r(x,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\alpha_d}(B_r)} \le C_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-1)}{\alpha_d}}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\|G_r(x,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^q(B_r)} \le C'_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-q)}{\alpha_d q}} \|G_r(x,\cdot)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\alpha_d}(B_r)} \le C_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-q)}{\alpha_d q}}, \tag{2.30}$$

where $\alpha_2 = 2$ and $\alpha_d = \frac{d-1}{d-2}$ for $d \ge 3$, and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ($q < \frac{d}{d-1} \le \alpha_d$ as $p > d$). We conclude that

$$\|\psi - Z_r\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B_r)} \tag{2.31}$$

$$\le C_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-q)}{\alpha_d q}} W_p \|\psi - Z_r\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B_r)} + C_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d-1)}{\alpha_d}} \|(-\Delta + W)\psi\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(B_r)}.$$

Taking $r_2 \in (0, r_1)$ such that $C_d r^{\frac{d(\alpha_d - q)}{\alpha_d q}}(1 + W_p) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and using (2.21), we get (2.22).

Letting $\mathcal{J} = \operatorname{Ran} Z_{r_2}$, and setting $\mathcal{J}_N = \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_{N+1}(B_{r_2})$, $\mathcal{F}_N = Z_{r_2}^{-1}(\mathcal{J}_N)$, the estimate (2.24) follows using the argument in [BoKl, Lemma 3.3]. □

3. Bounds on the Density of States of Schrödinger Operators with Singular Potentials

3.1. **One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with singular potentials.** The case $d = 1$ of Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$, where V is a real potential such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\{|x-y| \leq 1\}} |V(y)| dy < \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

Given $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists L_{V,E_0} such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, open intervals $\Lambda = \Lambda_L$ with $L \geq L_{V,E_0} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, and $E \leq E_0$, we have

$$\eta_\Lambda([E, E + \varepsilon]) \leq \frac{C_{V,E_0}}{\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Proceeding as in [BoKl, Theorem 2.3], let $\Lambda = \Lambda_L = (a_0, a_0 + L)$, $E \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and

$$K = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\{|x-y| \leq 1\}} |V(y)| dy < \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Setting $P = \chi_{[E,E+\varepsilon]}(H_\Lambda)$, we have $\operatorname{Ran} P \subset \mathcal{D}(H_\Lambda) \subset \mathrm{C}^1(\Lambda)$, and

$$\|(H_\Lambda - E)\psi\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P. \tag{3.4}$$

Given $0 < R < L$, set $a_j = a_0 + jR$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil \frac{L}{R} \rceil - 1$, and consider the vector space

$$\mathcal{F}_R := \left\{ \psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P : \psi(a_j) = \psi'(a_j) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil \tfrac{L}{R} \rceil - 1 \right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Given $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_R$, set $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\Psi' = \begin{pmatrix} \psi' \\ \psi'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi' \\ V\psi - H\psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ V - E & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\zeta \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\zeta = (H - E)\psi$. We have $\|\zeta\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|\psi\|_2$ from (3.4). For $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \lceil \frac{L}{R} \rceil - 1$ and $x \in (a_j - R, a_j + R) \cap \Lambda$, we have

$$\Psi(x) = \int_{a_j}^x \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ (V(y) - E) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Psi(y) dy + \int_{a_j}^x \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\zeta(y) \end{pmatrix} dy \tag{3.7}$$

since $\psi(a_j) = \psi'(a_j) = 0$, and hence

$$|\Psi(x)| \leq \left| \int_{a_j}^x (1 + |E| + |V(y)|) |\Psi(y)|) dy + \int_{a_j}^x |\zeta(y)| dy \right|. \tag{3.8}$$

By Gronwall's inequality (see [Ho]), we have

$$|\Psi(x)| \leq \left| \int_{a_j}^x \exp\left(\left| \int_y^x (1 + |E| + |V(z)|) dz \right| \right) |\zeta(y)| dy \right|. \tag{3.9}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_y^x (1+|E|+|V(z)|)dz\right| &\le (1+|E|)|x-y| + \left|\int_y^x |V(z)dz|\right| \\ &\le (1+|E|)R + \left\lceil \tfrac{R}{2}\right\rceil K \le C\max\{R,1\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $C = 1+|E|+K$. Therefore

$$|\psi(x)| \le |\Psi(x)| \le e^{C\max\{R,1\}}\sqrt{|x-a_j|}\|\zeta\|_2 \le e^{C\max\{R,1\}}\sqrt{R}\varepsilon\|\psi\|_2. \tag{3.11}$$

Since Λ is the union of these intervals, we conclude that

$$\|\psi\|_\infty \le e^{C\max\{R,1\}}\sqrt{R}\varepsilon\|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{F}_R. \tag{3.12}$$

We now assume that

$$\rho := \eta_{\Lambda_L}([E,E+\varepsilon]) = \tfrac{1}{L}\operatorname{tr} P > \tfrac{4}{L}, \tag{3.13}$$

since otherwise there is nothing to prove for large L. Taking $R = \frac{4}{\rho}$, it follows from (3.13) that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_R \ge \rho L - 2\left(\left\lceil \tfrac{L}{R}\right\rceil - 1\right) \ge \rho L - 2\tfrac{L}{R} = \tfrac{1}{2}\rho L > 2. \tag{3.14}$$

Applying [BoKl, Lemma 2.1], we obtain $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{F}_R$, $\psi_0 \neq 0$, such that

$$\|\psi_0\|_\infty \ge \sqrt{\frac{\dim \mathcal{F}_R}{L}}\|\psi_0\|_2 \ge \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}\rho}\|\psi_0\|_2. \tag{3.15}$$

It follows from (3.12) and (3.15) that

$$\sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}\rho} \le e^{C\max\{R,1\}}\sqrt{R}\varepsilon = e^{C(\max\{\frac{4}{\rho},1\})}\sqrt{\tfrac{4}{\rho}}\varepsilon. \tag{3.16}$$

If $\rho \le 4$, we have $\frac{4}{\rho} \ge 1$, and we get

$$\rho \le \frac{8C}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}. \tag{3.17}$$

If $\rho > 4$, we have $\frac{4}{\rho} < 1$, and we get

$$\rho \le 2\sqrt{2}e^C\varepsilon \le \frac{2\sqrt{2}e^C}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}. \tag{3.18}$$

Since we have (3.13), we conclude that there exists $C_{K,E}$ such that

$$\rho \le \frac{C_{K,E}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \quad \text{if} \quad L > \frac{4}{\rho} \ge \frac{4\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{C_{K,E}}. \tag{3.19}$$

Since H_Λ is semibounded (see [S]), there exists θ_V such that $\sigma(H_\Lambda) \subset [\theta_V,\infty)$. Thus we have $\eta_\Lambda([E,E+\varepsilon]) = 0$ unless $E \ge \theta_V - \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, given $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists L_{V,E_0} such that, for all $0 < \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$, open intervals $\Lambda = \Lambda_L$ with $L \ge L_{V,E_0}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, and $E \le E_0$, we have (3.2). □

3.2. **Two and three dimensional Schrödinger operators with singular potentials.** We start by recalling a quantitative unique continuation principle for Schrödinger operators with singular potentials [KT], an extension of the bounded potentials results of [BoK, GK2, BoKl]. We state only what we use in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Given subsets A and B of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and a function φ on set B, we set $\varphi_A := \varphi\chi_{A\cap B}$. We let $\varphi_{x,\delta} := \varphi_{B(x,\delta)}$.

Theorem 3.2 ([KT, Theorem 1.1])**.** *Let $d = 2, 3, \ldots$. Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and consider a real measurable function $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$ on Ω with $\|V^{(1)}\|_\infty \leq K_1$ and $\|V^{(2)}\|_p \leq K_2$, with either $p \geq d$ if $d \geq 3$ or $p > 2$ if $d = 2$. Set $K = K_1 + K_2$. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega)$ be real valued with $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2_{loc}(\Omega)$, and suppose*

$$\zeta = -\Delta\psi + V\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Omega). \tag{3.20}$$

Let $\Theta \subset \Omega$ be a bounded measurable set where $\|\psi_\Theta\|_2 > 0$, and set

$$Q(x,\Theta) := \sup_{y\in\Theta} |y - x| \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{3.21}$$

Consider $x_0 \in \Omega\backslash\overline{\Theta}$ such that

$$Q = Q(x_0,\Theta) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B(x_0, 6Q + 2) \subset \Omega, \tag{3.22}$$

and take

$$0 < \delta \leq \min\{\mathrm{dist}(x_0,\Theta), \tfrac{1}{2}\}. \tag{3.23}$$

There is a constant $m_d > 0$, depending only on d, such that

$$\left(\tfrac{\delta}{Q}\right)^{m_d(1+K^{\frac{2p}{3p-2d}})(Q^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}} + \log\frac{\|\psi_\Omega\|_2}{\|\psi_\Theta\|_2})} \|\psi_\Theta\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi_{x_0,\delta}\|_2^2 + \delta^2\|\zeta_\Omega\|_2^2. \tag{3.24}$$

As noted in [GK2, Corollary A.2], when we apply Theorem 3.2 to approximate eigenfunction of Schrödinger operators defined on a box Λ with Dirichlet or periodic boundary condition, it can be extended to sites near the boundary of Λ as in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $d = 2, 3, \ldots$. Consider the Schrödinger operator $H_\Lambda := -\Delta_\Lambda + V$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)$, where $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$ is the open box of side $L > 0$ centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Δ_Λ is the Laplacian with either Dirichlet or periodic boundary condition on Λ, and $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$ is a real potential on Λ with $\|V^{(1)}\|_\infty \leq K_1 < \infty$ and $\|V^{(2)}\|_p \leq K_2 < \infty$, with either $p \geq d$ if $d \geq 3$ or $p > 2$ if $d = 2$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_\Lambda)$ with $\Delta\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)$ and fix a bounded measurable set $\Theta \subset \Lambda$ where $\|\psi_\Theta\|_2 > 0$. Set $Q(x,\Theta) := \sup_{y\in\Theta}|y - x|$ for $x \in \Lambda$, and consider $x_0 \in \Omega\backslash\overline{\Theta}$ such that $Q = Q(x_0,\Theta) \geq 1$. Then, given $0 < \delta \leq \min\{\mathrm{dist}(x_0,\Theta), \frac{1}{2}\}$, such that $B(x_0,\delta) \subset \Lambda$, we have*

$$\left(\tfrac{\delta}{Q}\right)^{m_d(1+K^{\frac{2p}{3p-2d}})(Q^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}} + \log\frac{\|\psi\|_2}{\|\psi_\Theta\|_2})} \|\psi_\Theta\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi_{x_0,\delta}\|_2^2 + \delta^2\|H_\Lambda\psi\|_2^2, \tag{3.25}$$

where $K = K_1 + K_2$ and $m_d > 0$ is a constant depending only on d.

This corollary is proved exactly as [GK2, Corollary A.2]. (Note that using the notation in the proof of [GK2, Corollary A.2], we have $\|\widehat{V^{(1)}}_{\Lambda_{L'}}\|_\infty = \|V^{(1)}_{\Lambda_L}\|_\infty$ and $\|\widehat{V^{(2)}}_{\Lambda_{L'}}\|_p \leq (2n+1)^d\|V^{(2)}_{\Lambda_L}\|_p$ if $L' = (2n+1))L$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.)

The case $d = 2, 3$ of Theorem 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $H = -\Delta + V$ on $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $d = 2, 3$ and $V = V^{(1)} + V^{(2)}$ is a real potental with $V^{(1)} \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $V^{(2)} \in \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > \frac{2d}{4-d}$. Set $V_\infty = \|V\|_\infty$ and $V_p = \|V\|_p$. Given $E_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $L_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon \le \frac{1}{2}$, open boxes $\Lambda = \Lambda_L$ with $L \ge L_{d,p,V_p,E_0} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{3p-2d}{8p-4d}}$, and $E \le E_0$, we have*

$$\eta_\Lambda([E, E+\varepsilon]) \le \frac{C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}}{\left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{(4-d)p-2d}{8p-4d}}}. \tag{3.26}$$

Proof. We fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, let $L \ge L_0(\varepsilon)$, where $L_0(\varepsilon) > 0$ will be specified later, and take a box $\Lambda = \Lambda_L$. There exists $\theta = \theta(d, p, V_\infty^{(1)}, V_p^{(2)}) \ge 0$ such that (see [KT, Eq. (2.21) and (2.46)])

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |V|\,|f|^2\,dx \right| \le \theta \|f\|_2^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\|\nabla f\|_2^2 \quad \text{for all} \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\nabla). \tag{3.27}$$

It follows that $\sigma(H_\Lambda) \subset [-\theta, \infty)$, and hence it suffices to consider $E_0 \ge -\theta - 1$ and $E \in [-\theta - 1, E_0]$. We set $P = \chi_{[E,E+\varepsilon]}(H_\Lambda)$; note that $\operatorname{Ran} P \subset \mathcal{D}(H_\Lambda) \subset \mathrm{H}^1(\Lambda)$ and

$$\|(H_\Lambda - E)\psi\|_2 \le \varepsilon \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P. \tag{3.28}$$

Recalling that for $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t(H_\Lambda+\theta)}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)\to\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Lambda)} &\le \|e^{\frac{1}{2}t\Delta_\Lambda}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)\to\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Lambda)} \\ &\le \|e^{\frac{1}{2}t\Delta}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

for $\psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_\infty &= \|e^{-(H_\Lambda+\theta)} e^{(H_\Lambda+\theta)}\psi\|_\infty \\ &\le \|e^{-(H_\Lambda+\theta)}\|_{\mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)\to\mathrm{L}^\infty(\Lambda)} \|e^{(H_\Lambda+\theta)}\psi\|_2 \le C_d e^{E_0+\theta+1}\|\psi\|_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

Since $P(H_\Lambda - E)\psi = (H_\Lambda - E)P\psi = (H_\Lambda - E)\psi$ for $\psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P$, we conclude that

$$\|(H_\Lambda - E)\psi\|_\infty \le \varepsilon C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0} \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \operatorname{Ran} P. \tag{3.31}$$

Since $V \in \mathrm{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) + \mathrm{L}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p > 2$, we have $V \in \mathrm{L}^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore $V\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)$ as ψ is bounded. Thus we have $\Delta\psi = -H_\Lambda\psi + V\psi \in \mathrm{L}^2(\Lambda)$.

Let

$$\rho := \eta_{\Lambda_L}([E, E+\varepsilon]) = \tfrac{1}{L^d} \operatorname{tr} P. \tag{3.32}$$

We have the uniform upper bound (e.g., [GK1, Eq. (A.6)])

$$\rho \le \rho_{ub} := C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}; \quad \text{without loss of generality} \quad \rho_{ub} \ge 1. \tag{3.33}$$

Let γ_d be the constant in Theorem 1.2; we assume $2^d\gamma_d \ge 1$ without loss of generality. We take

$$L^d > 2^{3d+1}\gamma_d \frac{\rho_{ub}}{\rho}; \tag{3.34}$$

otherwise there is nothing to prove for L large. Let R satisfy

$$2^{d+1}\gamma_d \frac{\rho_{ub}}{\rho} \le R^d < \left(\frac{L}{4}\right)^d; \tag{3.35}$$

we have

$$2 \le \rho R^d \text{ and } 2 \le R^d. \tag{3.36}$$

Using (3.33) and (3.35), we have

$$N := \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\rho}{2^{d+1}\gamma_d} \right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} R^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \rho_{ub}^{\frac{1}{d-1}} \right\rfloor \geq 1. \tag{3.37}$$

We now choose $\mathcal{G} \subset \Lambda$ such that

$$\overline{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{G}} \overline{\Lambda_R}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \sharp \mathcal{G} = \left(\left\lceil \tfrac{L}{R} \right\rceil \right)^d \in \left[\left(\tfrac{L}{R} \right)^d, \left(\tfrac{2L}{R} \right)^d \right] \cap \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.38}$$

Give $y_1 \in \mathcal{G}$, we apply Corollary 1.2 with $\Omega = \Lambda \supset B(y_1, 1)$, $W = V - E$, and $\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{Ran} P$. The hypothesis (1.7) follows from (3.31). We conclude that there exists a vector subspace $\mathcal{F}_{y_1,N}$ of $\operatorname{Ran} P$ and $r_0 = r_0(d, p, V_\infty^{(1)}, V_p^{(2)}, E_0) \in (0, 1)$ such that, using (3.37) and (3.35), we have

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_{y_1,N} \geq \rho L^d - \gamma_d N^{d-1} \geq 1, \tag{3.39}$$

and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{y_1,N}$ we have

$$|\psi(y_1+x)| \leq (C^{N^2}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0} |x|^{N+1} + \varepsilon C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}) \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{if} \quad |x| < r_0. \tag{3.40}$$

Picking $y_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, $y_2 \neq y_1$, and apply Theorem 1.2 with $\Omega = \Lambda \supset B(y_2, 1)$, $W = V - E$, and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{y_1,N}$, we obtain a vector subspace $\mathcal{F}_{y_1,y_2,N}$ of $\mathcal{F}_{y_1,N}$, and hence of $\operatorname{Ran} P$, such that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_{y_1,y_2,N} \geq \dim \mathcal{F}_{y_1,N} - \gamma_d N^{d-1} \geq \rho L^d - 2\gamma_d N^{d-1} \geq 1, \tag{3.41}$$

and (3.40) holds for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{y_1,y_2,N}$ also with y_2 substituted for y_1. Repeating this procedure until we exhaust the sites in $\mathcal{G}$, we conclude that there exists a vector subspace $\mathcal{F}_R$ of $\operatorname{Ran} P$ and $r_0 = r_0(d, p, V_\infty^{(1)}, V_p^{(2)}, E_0) \in (0, 1)$, such that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_R \geq \rho L^d - \left(\tfrac{2L}{R} \right)^d \gamma_d N^{d-1} \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \rho L^d \geq 2^{3d} \gamma_d \rho_{ub} \geq 1, \tag{3.42}$$

where we used the assumption (3.34), and for all $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_R$ and $y \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$|\psi(y+x)| \leq (C^{N^2}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0} |x|^{N+1} + \varepsilon C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}) \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{if } x < r_0. \tag{3.43}$$

We let Q_R denote the orthogonal projection onto $\mathcal{F}_R$. Since $\operatorname{tr} Q_R = \dim \mathcal{F}_R$, it follows from (3.42) by the argument in [BoKl, Eqs. (3.102)-(3.106)] that there exists $\psi_0 = Q_R \psi_0$ with $\|\psi_0\|_2 = 1$ such that

$$\gamma \rho \leq \|\chi_{\Lambda_1} \psi_0\|_2 \leq 1, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \gamma_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0} > 0. \tag{3.44}$$

We pick $y_0 \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\tfrac{1}{4} < \tfrac{1}{4} R \leq \operatorname{dist}(y_0, \Lambda_1) \leq 2\sqrt{d} R, \tag{3.45}$$

which can be done by our construction, and apply Corollary 3.3 with $x_0 = y_0$, $\Theta = \Lambda_1$, and potential $V - E$; note that

$$\tfrac{R}{4} + \sqrt{d} \leq Q = Q(y_0, \Lambda_1) \leq 2\sqrt{d} R + \sqrt{d} \leq 3\sqrt{d} R. \tag{3.46}$$

Let $0 < \delta < \delta_0 := \min\left\{ \frac{1}{2}, r_0 \right\}$, where r_0 is as in (3.43). It follows from Corollary 3.3, using (3.28), that

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{d} R} \right)^{m(1 + K^{\frac{2p}{3p-2d}})(R^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}} - \log \|\psi_0 \chi_{\Lambda_1}\|_2)} \|\psi_0 \chi_{\Lambda_1}\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi_0 \chi_{B(y_0,\delta)}\|_2^2 + \varepsilon^2, \tag{3.47}$$

with a constant $m = m_d > 0$ and $K = V_\infty^{(1)} + V_p^{(2)} + |E|$. Using (3.43) and (3.44), we get

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{d}R}\right)^{m(1+K^{\frac{2p}{3p-2d}})(R^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}-\log(\gamma p))} (\gamma p)^2 \leq C_d C^{N^2}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\delta^{2(N+1)+d} + C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2. \tag{3.48}$$

Since $\rho \geq 2R^{-d}$ and $\frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{d}R} < \frac{\delta}{3\sqrt{d}} < 1$ by (3.36), the inequality (3.48) implies the existence of strictly positive constants $\tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$ and $M = M_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$ such that

$$\left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^{MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \leq C^{N^2}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\delta^{2N} + C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for } R \geq \tilde{R}. \tag{3.49}$$

We require

$$R > \widehat{R} = \max\{\tilde{R}, \delta_0^{-1}\}, \tag{3.50}$$

and choose δ by (note $C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0} \geq 1$)

$$\delta = (C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R)^{-1} < \delta_0, \text{ so } \tfrac{\delta}{R} = C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\delta^2 = (C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^2)^{-1}, \tag{3.51}$$

obtaining

$$\left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^{MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \leq \left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^N + C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2. \tag{3.52}$$

We now take $d = 2, 3$ and take R large enough so that

$$\left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^N \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^{MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}}, \quad \text{i.e., } (C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^2)^{N-MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \geq 2. \tag{3.53}$$

To see this, note that $\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d} < \frac{d}{d-1}$ when $p > \frac{2d}{4-d}$ for $d = 2, 3$, so

$$MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}} < N = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\rho}{2^{d+1}\gamma_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} R^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \right\rfloor \text{ if } \rho > C''_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^{\frac{(d-4)p+2d}{3p-2d}}, \tag{3.54}$$

and hence

$$(C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^2)^{N-MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \geq 4^{N-MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \geq 2 \quad \text{if } \rho > C'''_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^{\frac{(d-4)p+2d}{3p-2d}}. \tag{3.55}$$

We now choose R by

$$\rho = c_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^{\frac{(d-4)p+2d}{3p-2d}}, \tag{3.56}$$

where the constant $c_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$ is chosen large enough to ensure that, using (3.33), all the conditions (3.35), (3.50), (3.55), and (3.53) are satisfied. It follows from (3.52) and (3.53) that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{\delta}{R}\right)^{MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \leq C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2, \quad \text{that is,} \quad (C^N_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^2)^{-MR^{\frac{4p-2d}{3p-2d}}} \leq 2C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2. \tag{3.57}$$

Using (3.37), and (3.56) with a sufficiently large constant $c_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$, we get from (3.57) that

$$e^{-M'R^{\frac{8p-4d}{3p-2d}}} = e^{-M'R^{\frac{(d-4)p+2d}{(3p-2d)(d-1)}+\frac{d}{d+1}+\frac{8p-4d}{3p-2d}}} \leq C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\varepsilon^2, \tag{3.58}$$

where $M' = M'_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}$. Thus

$$\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \leq C_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}R^{\frac{8p-4d}{3p-2d}} = \frac{\tilde{C}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}}{\rho^{\frac{8p-4d}{(4-d)p-2d}}}, \tag{3.59}$$

and hence

$$\rho \leq \tilde{C}_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\left(\log\tfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-\frac{(4-d)p-2d}{8p-4d}}, \tag{3.60}$$

as long as L is large enough to satisfy (3.35) with the choice of R in (3.56), namely $L \geq L_{d,p,V_\infty^{(1)},V_p^{(2)},E_0}\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{3p-2d}{8p-4d}}$. □

References

[ABR] Axler, S., Bourdon, P., Ramey, W.: Harmonic Function Theory, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 137. Springer, New York (2001)

[B] Bers, L.: Local behavior of solutions of general linear elliptic equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **8**, 473-496 (1955)

[BoK] Bourgain, J., Kenig, C.: On localization in the continuous Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimension, Invent. Math. **161**, 389-426 (2005)

[BoKl] Bourgain, J., Klein, A.: Bounds on the density of states for Schrödinger operators. Invent. Math. **194**, 41-72 (2013). doi:10.1007/s00222-012-0440-1

[GK1] Germinet, F., Klein, A.: A characterization of the Anderson metal-insulator transport transition. Duke Math. J. **124**, 309-351 (2004).doi:10.1215/S0012-7094-04-12423-6

[GK2] Germinet, F., Klein, A.: A comprehensive proof of localization for continuous Anderson models with singular random potentials. J. Eur. Math. Soc. **15**, 53-143 (2013). doi:10.4171/JEMS/356

[GiT] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N.: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2001). Reprint of the 1998 edition

[HW] Hartman, P., Wintner, A.: On the local behavior of solutions of non-parabolic partial differential equations. Amer. J. Math. **75**, 449-476. (1953)

[Ho] Howard, R.: The Gronwall Inequality [Online]. Available at `http://www.math.sc.edu/howard/Notes/gronwall.pdf`

[KT] Klein, A., Tsang, C.S.S.: Quantitative unique continuation principle for Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. Preprint available at arXiv:1408.1992 [math-ph]

[S] Simon, B.: Schrodinger semi-groups. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7**, 447-526 (1982)

[T] Trudinger, N.: Linear elliptic operators with measurable coefficients. Ann. Scuola. norm. sup. Pisa, Sci. fis. mat., III. Ser.27, 265-308 (1973)

University of California, Irvine, Department of Mathematics, Irvine, CA 92697-3875, USA

E-mail address, Klein: `aklein@uci.edu`

E-mail address, Tsang: `tsangcs@uci.edu`